

Initial Engineering Calculations

Flat Belt Tire Tester — MECH 493 Senior Design — Kettering University

This section presents the hand calculations used to size and verify each major subsystem of the flat belt tire testing machine. These analytical results established the initial design and component selections; the finite element analysis (FEA) results that follow validate and refine these calculations.

1. Design Inputs and Assumptions

Parameter	Value	Basis
Maximum belt speed	25 mph (36.7 ft/s)	GEM e2 top speed
Nominal tire load	550 lbf	GEM corner weight + dynamic transfer
Maximum tire load	900 lbf	Linear actuator stall force
Tire diameter	21.1 in	GEM stock tire
Belt width	16 in	Treadmill belt stock
Belt-deck friction (μ)	0.12	Waxed treadmill belt on UHMW
Tire rolling resistance (C_{rr})	0.015	Typical passenger tire
Drivetrain efficiency	0.93	Chain + bearings + coupling
Steel yield (A36 / 1018)	36,000 psi	Material property
Aluminum yield (6061-T6)	40,000 psi	Material property

2. Motor Power Sizing

2.1 Resistive force at the belt (at 550 lbf nominal load)

Belt-deck friction:

$$F_{\text{deck}} = \mu \times W = 0.12 \times 550 = 66.0 \text{ lbf}$$

Rolling resistance:

$$F_{\text{rr}} = C_{rr} \times W = 0.015 \times 550 = 8.25 \text{ lbf}$$

Bearing and belt-flex losses (estimated): $F_{\text{misc}} = 11 \text{ lbf}$

$$\text{Total: } F_{\text{total}} = 66.0 + 8.25 + 11 = 85.25 \text{ lbf}$$

2.2 Power required

$$P_{\text{belt}} = F_{\text{total}} \times v = 85.25 \text{ lbf} \times 36.7 \text{ ft/s} = 3,126 \text{ ft-lbf/s}$$

$$P_{\text{belt}} = 3,126 / 550 = 5.68 \text{ HP}$$

$$P_{\text{motor}} = P_{\text{belt}} / \text{efficiency} = 5.68 / 0.93 = 6.11 \text{ HP}$$

$$\text{Required motor power at 550 lbf, 25 mph} = 6.11 \text{ HP}$$

2.3 Power at other operating points

Tire Load	Total Force	Motor Power	% of 5 HP rated
360 lbf (typical)	59.6 lbf	4.27 HP	85%
550 lbf (nominal)	85.25 lbf	6.11 HP	122%
900 lbf (max)	132.5 lbf	9.50 HP	190%

Motor selected: 5 HP (NAE PE184T-5-4-ODP) with Service Factor 1.25. Sized for the typical 360 lbf test condition with thermal margin; higher loads reduce top speed (see operating envelope).

Note: these hand calculations cover the static and steady-running load cases. The braking load case, which adds a horizontal traction force at the tire contact patch (up to $\mu \times \text{load}$), is addressed in the FEA section because it produces combined (multi-axis) loading best evaluated numerically.

3. Drivetrain and Belt Speed

3.1 Required roller speed for 25 mph

$v = 25 \text{ mph} = 440 \text{ in/s}$. Roller circumference = $\pi \times 3.5 = 11.0 \text{ in}$

$N_{\text{roller}} = (440 \times 60) / 11.0 = 2,400 \text{ RPM}$ required

3.2 Sprocket ratio (step-up)

Motor 1,800 RPM is slower than the 2,400 RPM needed, so a step-UP is required.

Ratio = 45T (motor) / 32T (roller) = 1.41:1 step-up

$N_{\text{roller}} = 1,800 \times (45/32) = 2,531 \text{ RPM}$

Belt speed = $2,531 \times 11.0 / 60 = 463 \text{ in/s} = 26.4 \text{ mph}$ (VFD trims to 25.0)

3.3 Chain force

Motor continuous torque: $T = 5 \text{ HP} \times 5252 / 1800 \times 12 = 175 \text{ lb-in}$

45T #35 sprocket pitch diameter = $0.375 / \sin(180/45) = 5.39 \text{ in}$

Chain force (peak) = $T_{\text{peak}} / (PD/2)$; peak $\sim 522 \text{ lb-in} \rightarrow F = 194 \text{ lbf}$

Chain FoS = $480 \text{ lbf (working load)} / 194 = 2.5$

Note: peak torque of 522 lb-in is used as a conservative value for chain sizing. A NEMA Design B motor's breakdown torque is typically about 2.75x continuous (approximately 481 lb-in here); the slightly higher 522 lb-in gives additional margin. Under normal running torque (111 lb-in) the chain force and FoS are far more favorable.

3.4 Drivetrain torque check

Roller torque at 550 lbf load: $T = 85 \text{ lbf} \times 1.75 \text{ in} = 149 \text{ lb-in}$

Motor torque required = $149 / (1.41 \times 0.95) = 111 \text{ lb-in}$

Torque FoS = $175 / 111 = 1.58$

4. Drive Roller Assembly

4.1 Roller tube bending (6061-T6, 3.5 OD x 0.1875 wall)

Belt tension load (capstan): $T_1 + T_2 = 594$ lbf across 16 in belt zone

Section modulus $S = 1.534$ in³; Max moment $M = 1,634$ lb-in

Stress = $M / S = 1,065$ psi

FoS = $40,000 / 1,065 = 37.6$; Deflection = 0.0017 in

Note: belt tension ($T_1 + T_2$) is found from the capstan (Euler belt-friction) equation using the peak drive force, a lagged-rubber friction coefficient of 0.30, and 180 degrees of belt wrap. This perpendicular belt load is distributed across the 16 in belt contact zone and treated as a partial uniform load on the roller spanning 19 in between bearings.

4.2 Dead axle shaft (3/4 in, 1018 steel, bearings 0.25 in inboard)

Load per bearing = $594 / 2 = 297$ lbf; Moment arm = 0.25 in

Max moment $M = 297 \times 0.25 = 74$ lb-in; $S = 0.041$ in³

Stress = $74 / 0.041 = 1,793$ psi

FoS = $36,000 / 1,793 = 20.1$; Deflection = 0.0074 in

4.3 Roller bearings (R12-2RS sealed ball bearing)

Operating load 297 lbf; dynamic rating 1,630 lbf

Operating speed 2,531 RPM; max rated 8,500 RPM

Load FoS = $1,630 / 297 = 5.5$; Speed FoS = $8,500 / 2,531 = 3.4$

L10 life (dead-axle derated) approx 815 hours (~8 years lab use)

5. Belt Support Deck

Construction: 1/2 in UHMW-PE on 6 x 2x2x1/4 steel tubes butted together (12 in continuous support).

5.1 UHMW under tire (compression only — continuous support beneath)

Contact pressure = 900 lbf / $(4 \times 6$ in) = 37.5 psi

FoS = $3,500$ psi (UHMW) / $37.5 = 93$

5.2 Steel cross tubes (2x2x1/4, 26 in span)

Realistic case (load shared by 3 tubes under patch): 300 lbf/tube

Stress = 1,975 psi -> FoS = 18.2, deflection 0.004 in

Worst case (single tube takes 900 lbf): stress 6,418 psi

FoS = 5.6 (worst case), deflection 0.013 in

Note: because the six tubes are butted with no gaps, the UHMW sheet has continuous steel support and carries only compression (no bending spans). The tire contact patch (about 6 in long) sits over roughly three tubes, so the realistic case shares the load across three tubes. The single-tube case is a conservative bound assuming one tube carries the entire 900 lbf.

6. Tire Loading System

Note: the loading mechanism is being revised from a single lever to a 4-bar parallelogram linkage to eliminate camber change. Calculations for both the single-lever (initial) and the 4-bar arms are shown.

6.1 Lever arm bending (single-lever, 2x3x1/4 tube, 19 in)

Max moment $M = 900 \text{ lbf} \times 19 \text{ in} = 17,100 \text{ lb-in}$; $S = 1.698 \text{ in}^3$

Stress $= 17,100 / 1.698 = 10,071 \text{ psi}$

FoS = 36,000 / 10,071 = 3.6 (vertical load only)

6.2 4-bar arm axial load (2x2x1/4 tube)

Axial force per arm $= W \times \text{offset} / \text{separation} = 900 \times 4 / 8 = 450 \text{ lbf}$

Axial stress over 1.75 in^2 area $= 257 \text{ psi} \rightarrow \text{FoS} > 100$

Buckling (19 in, pin-pin): $P_{cr} \gg$ applied load, $\text{FoS} > 1,000$

4-bar arms carry mostly axial load; 2x2x1/4 is more than adequate

6.3 Pivot shaft (1 in dia, 1018 steel, 3.25 in bushing span)

Worst-case load $= \text{actuator} + \text{belt reaction} = 1,800 \text{ lbf}$

Max moment $M = 1,800 \times 3.25 / 4 = 1,462 \text{ lb-in}$; $S = 0.098 \text{ in}^3$

Stress $= 1,462 / 0.098 = 14,897 \text{ psi}$

FoS = 36,000 / 14,897 = 2.4; Deflection = 0.0009 in

Note: the 1,800 lbf worst-case pivot load is the sum of the actuator force (900 lbf at stall) and the equal-and-opposite belt reaction (900 lbf) in the 1st-class single-lever configuration, where both act on the same side of the pivot. The 4-bar linkage reduces this pivot load substantially because loads are shared across four joints; the 1,800 lbf value is therefore conservative for the revised design.

6.4 Pivot bushings (841 bronze flanged sleeve, 1 in bore x 0.75 in)

Load per bushing (worst case) $= 900 \text{ lbf}$; projected area $= 1.0 \times 0.75 = 0.75 \text{ in}^2$

Pressure $= 900 / 0.75 = 1,200 \text{ psi}$ (rating 2,000 psi)

FoS = 2,000 / 1,200 = 1.67 (worst case); 2.7 at nominal load

7. Actuator and Load Cell

Linear actuator: 900 lbf capacity, operating at 550 lbf = 61% of rated.

Buckling at 6 in stroke, 900 lbf: $P_{cr} \gg$ load, $\text{FoS} \sim 170$

Load cell: S-beam, 1,000 lbf rated; 1:1 lever ratio means it reads wheel force directly.

8. Summary of Factors of Safety

Component	Loading	FoS Target	FoS Achieved
Drive roller tube (aluminum)	Bending	≥ 2.0	37.6
Drive roller dead axle (steel)	Bending	≥ 2.0	20.1
Drive roller bearings	Dynamic load	≥ 2.0	5.5
Chain (#35)	Peak tension	≥ 2.0	2.5
Motor torque	Continuous	≥ 1.5	1.58
Belt deck UHMW	Compression	≥ 2.0	93
Belt deck cross tubes (realistic)	Bending	≥ 2.0	18.2
Belt deck cross tubes (worst case)	Bending	≥ 2.0	5.6
Lever arm tube (single lever)	Bending	≥ 2.0	3.6
4-bar arm (2x2x1/4)	Axial	≥ 2.0	>100
Pivot shaft	Bending	≥ 2.0	2.4
Pivot bushings	Bearing pressure	≥ 1.5	1.67
Linear actuator rod	Buckling	≥ 2.0	~170

All components meet their factor-of-safety targets. Structural members (roller, axle, deck) are conservatively overbuilt. The tightest margins are motor torque (1.58, intermittent duty) and pivot bushing bearing pressure (1.67, worst-case load only). These analytical results form the basis for the FEA validation that follows.